December 28, 2010

Hearing Docket hearingdocket@nrc.gov
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington DC 20555

Cc: stephen.dembek@nrc.gov ; Jennifer.Tobin@nrc.gov

RE: Hearing Docket Numbers 110-05896 and 11-05897
EnergySolutions applications IW029 and XW018;
Request from multiple organizations for Hearings in Tennessee

To Whom It May Concern:

As provided by 10 C.F.R. 110.81, the below-listed organizations submit comments in opposition to EnergySolutions’ application for import/export of radioactive waste from the German corporation Eckert and Ziegler Nuclitec (EZN) through Virginia to Tennessee for incineration. The application was noticed in the Federal Register on November 30, 2010.

We are outraged by the fundamental inadequacy of this import/export application to provide basic information about the characteristics of the radioactive waste proposed for import to the U.S. and the failure of the applicant to provide enough information to show that the waste can be incinerated safely. The technical staff of the NRC has identified some of these fundamental inadequacies in a letter (ML #103490687) to EnergySolutions dated December 20, 2010 requesting additional information.

Because of the gross deficiencies of the application as originally submitted we believe the thirty day public comment period should start once Energy Solutions has responded adequately to these critical questions. We herein request such an extension.

The undersigned organizations also support the request for a hearing submitted separately by Tennessee Environmental Council (TEC), Oak Ridge Environmental Peace Organization (OREPA), and Citizens to End Nuclear Dumping in Tennessee (ENDIT).

We believe the following issues must be addressed before the NRC can even begin to consider whether an import/export license can be issued to EnergySolutions:

-- WHAT THE WASTE IS: the amount, form, character, class and type of radioactivity and radioactive waste and material that would be imported and incinerated/processed in Tennessee: the volume, mass, curies (becquerels) of all radionuclides, chemical and physical form;

-- WHERE THE WASTE IS COMING FROM (countries and industries): the need for specific information on the sources and origins of the radioactive wastes. Since the German company, EZN, is in the business of collecting radioactive waste from all over the world, EnergySolutions should specifically identify the sources, industries, geographic origins and characterization of all wastes;

-- HOW MUCH RADIOACTIVITY WILL STAY HERE (in our air, water, soil, landfills, etc): the amount of radioactivity that will remain in the state/country as solid waste going to solid waste landfills or to restricted or unrestricted “recycling” or to cement kilns. This is of concern because the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation license for EnergySolutions allows
some radioactive waste/material to be released for unrestricted reuse or disposal—that is, to go to unregulated destinations including commercial and municipal landfills in the state. This is asked in the referenced questions from the NRC to Energy Solutions: “The application states that residual radioactive material from processing the material, such as floor sweepings, booties, slag, etc. which is attributable to Duratek (Energy Solutions) under its Tennessee license will be disposed of in accordance with the license.” Additionally, what is the disposal path of the incinerators’ radioactive air filters which must be removed after becoming clogged?

--HOW LONG THE RADIOACTIVITY WILL REMAIN IN THE UNITED STATES: what are the time limits for domestic transit to and from Oak Ridge, storage both prior to and after incineration, and total duration of the waste material in any form being in the U.S. In no case should the material be in the U.S. longer than 90 days.

-- WHETHER ANY RADIOACTIVITY GETS INTO RECYCLING: the amount of radioactive or slightly radioactive material, if any, that could enter into commercial or restricted recycling through the EnergySolutions state license;

-- WHERE THE RADIOACTIVITY WILL GO: a determination of the final destinations of the radioactive waste at various levels resulting from various kinds of processing of the imported waste;

-- COMPLIANCE WITH LICENSES AND HOW MUCH RADIOACTIVITY WILL GET OUT: the need for additional technical information on how the waste will meet acceptance criteria at US EnergySolutions facilities and technical information on the routine and accidental air and water radioactive emissions from those facilities;

-- HOW MUCH RADIOACTIVITY WILL GO BACK TO EUROPE AND HOW: the need for more specific determination of the amount and levels of radioactivity of material and waste that could be returned to Germany, since the radioactivity will be concentrated and the transport distance will be doubled, just for the sake of burning it in the US;

--ULTIMATE DESTINATION IN GERMANY FOR RADIOACTIVE ASH AND OTHER RETURNS: is there a possibility the radioactive materials will be orphaned in the U.S. because of Germany’s unwillingness to take it back, what are the specific disposal plans and guarantees?;

-- TRANSPORT INFORMATION AND RISKS: specific information on the transport routes to, from and through Tennessee and Virginia including documentation of transport insurance liability for the wastes and materials, and specific information on transport containers and protocols;

-- CLARIFICATION AND CLEAR IDENTIFICATION OF THE PORTS through which the radioactive waste and material would be shipped and routes to, from and through TN and the US; identification of state regulations that apply to offloading, handling and temporary storage in any port facility as well as clarification as to whether the port authorities have the ability to off-load and handle nuclear waste and respond in case of emergency;

-- PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, SECURITY: impacts on the public health and safety and common defense and security of the states and our nation, specifically with regard to the radioactive materials in this application but also with regard to setting a precedent for additional large imports for processing and transport in, through and out of the US;

-- WORKPLACE RELATED RISKS AND EXPOSURE: What level of exposure will workers incur? How many employees will receive the maximum allowable dose per year, and over what period of time? Who will be responsible for the healthcare costs of those who become ill?
-- CUMULATIVE EFFECTS: What will be the cumulative emissions and potential health effects of the incineration of this additional foreign waste (and potentially much more of it) combined with the existing radioactive emissions in the Oak Ridge area? Presently people in Oak Ridge are exposed to routine and accidental releases from the Oak Ridge-DOE TSCA incinerator for DOE mixed radioactive and hazardous wastes across the weapons complex, the Kingston DSSI boiler for mixed radioactive and hazardous wastes, the two EnergySolutions incinerators on Bear Creek Road, the new Impact pyroprocessor near Oak Ridge; Oak Ridge DOE site operations, as well as other industrial emissions;

--EXAMINATION OF THE PREMISE that the business of world-wide radioactive waste management is good for the people of the USA. In the letter which accompanied their application, under justification of the License, Energy Solutions states that this effort will “assist in maintaining the US competitive advantage in waste management and decommissioning.” We do not agree with this premise, which is completely unsupported.

Many individuals, residents, organizations and the public at large will be impacted because the transport to and from Oak Ridge; the routine and accidental radioactive liquid and gaseous releases (legal or illegal) and the deregulated waste generated from burning the foreign waste cannot be confined to the immediate vicinity of the EnergySolutions incinerators. Certainly those in the area, downwind, downstream on transport routes to and from the incinerators could be affected, as well as taxpayers and residents who could end up paying the economic and health price. The hearings are needed to identify and address these local, regional and very public concerns.

The public also needs a clear explanation of the limited criteria that NRC considers in determining whether or not to approve such applications. NRC appears to be the gate-keeper but only considers certain aspects of nuclear waste imports and exports. Clarification is needed on many specifics of these EnergySolutions applications and on overall plans by the processing industry for continued import proposals. Hearings would help identify where the larger decisions are made on how much waste overall could be imported to the US (mainly TN) for incineration and other processing in the months and years to come.

Hearings would go a long way to facilitating better understanding of the burgeoning nuclear waste processing industry in TN and the US.

Sincerely,

Tennessee Environmental Council
Don Safer
4517 Price Circle
Nashville, TN 37205
615 248 6500
dsafer@comcast.net

Oak Ridge Environmental Peace Alliance
Ralph Hutchison
Oak Ridge, TN
orep@earthlink.net
Citizens to End Nuclear Dumping in Tennessee
Kathleen Ferris
Murfreesboro, TN
k.r.ferris@comcast.net

Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League
Janet Marsh
bredl@skybest.com

Catholic Committee of Appalachia
Linda Modica
Jonesborough, TN
lcomodica@aol.com

We the People
Ann Harris
Rockwood, TN
Apharris40@gmail.com

Coffee Party Progressives
Darrell Boudin, Founder & Volunteer National Coordinator
Murfreesboro, TN
nationalcoordinator@coffeepartyprogressives.com

Defense Depot Memphis Tennessee Concerned Citizens Committee
Doris Bradshaw
Memphis TN
Ddmtccc411@yahoo.com

Nashville Greenlands
Karl Meyer
2407 Heiman St.
Nashville, TN 37208-2415

Sierra Club
Tennessee Chapter Sierra Club
Gloria Griffith, Vice Chair
3340 Perimeter Hill Drive
Nashville, TN 37211
1-615-837-3773
Gla4797@embarqmail.com
Virginia Chapter Sierra Club
Glen Besa, Director
422 E. Franklin St, Suite 302
Richmond, VA 23219
glen.besa@sierraclub.org
804-225-9113 x104;

Ohio Chapter Sierra Club
Patricia A. Marida, Chair, Ohio Sierra Club Nuclear Issues Committee
Columbus, OH
marida@wideopenwest.com
614-890-7865

South Carolina Chapter Sierra Club
Susan Corbett
Columbia, South Carolina
803-755-6929
http://myscsierra.org/chapter/
jscorbett@mindspring.com

Toiyabe Chapter, Sierra Club
Nevada and Eastern California
Jane Feldman
Energy Chair

Friends of the Earth
Tom Clements
Columbia, SC
TomClements329@cs.com

Bellefonte Efficiency and Sustainability Team (BEST/MATRR)
Sandy Kurtz
Chattanooga, TN
sandykurtz@comcast.net

Citizens Task Force
Kaye Kiker, Organizer
P. O. Box 504
Mentone, AL 35984
khkiker@farmerstel.

Nuclear Information and Resource Service
Diane D’Arrigo, Mary Olson  
6930 Carroll Ave Suite 340  
Takoma Park, MD 20912  
Southeast office: Asheville, NC  
301 270-6477 x 16  
dianed@nirs.org; maryo@nirs.org; www.nirs.org

NUCLEAR WATCH SOUTH  
Glenn Carroll, Coordinator  
P.O. Box 8574  
Atlanta, GA 31106  
404-378-4263  
atom.girl@mindspring.com; http://www.nonukesyall.org

Beyond Nuclear  
Kevin Kamps, Radioactive Waste Watchdog  
6930 Carroll Avenue, Suite 400  
Takoma Park, Maryland 20912  
(301) 270-2209 ext. 1  
kevin@beyondnuclear.org; www.beyondnuclear.org

Don't Waste Michigan  
Alice Hirt  
Holland, MI  
alicehirt@gmail.com

Coalition for a Nuclear-Free Great Lakes  
Michael Keegan  
Monroe, MI  
mkeeganj@comcast.net

Keith Gunter  
Citizens Resistance at Fermi Two  
Livonia, MI  
keithtada@sbcglobal.net

Joseph Mangano MPH MBA  
Executive Director  
Radiation and Public Health Project  
New York, NY

HEAL Utah  
Christopher Thomas  
Executive Director